

COURT No. 3
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH: NEW DELHI

2.

OA 3772/2025 with MA 5633/2025

Ex PO EL (P) Manoj V.P Applicant

VERSUS

Union of India and Ors. Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. Devendra Kumar, Advocate
For Respondents : Ms. Nehal Jain, Advocate

CORAM

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NANDITA DUBEY, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE MS. RASIKA CHAUBE, MEMBER (A)

ORDER
27.11.2025

MA 5633/2025

Keeping in view the averments made in the miscellaneous application and finding the same to be bona fide, in the absence of any opposition by the respondents and in the light of the decision in *Union of India and others Vs. Tarsem Singh [(2008) 8 SCC 648]*, the MA is allowed condoning the delay of 9610 days in filing the OA. The MA stands disposed of.

OA 3772/2025

2. The applicant Ex PO EL (P) Manoj V.P, vide the present OA makes the following prayers:

“(a) Direct the respondents to refix the pension of the applicant in the rank of PO w.e.f. his date of discharge i.e 01.02.1999.

(b) direct respondents to pay the due arrears of pension with interest @ 12% p.a from the date of retirement with all the consequential benefits.

(c) Any other relief which the Hon’ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper in the fact and circumstances of the case along with cost of the applicant in favour of the applicant.”

3. The applicant was enrolled in the Indian Navy on 04.01.1984 and was discharged from service on 31.01.1999 in the rank of Petty Officer (PO) after rendering about 15 years of service. The applicant was promoted to the rank of PO w.e.f. 01.08.1998. However, the applicant was granted service pension in the rank of Leading Seaman vide PPO No. 401199900614, as he had not completed 10 months of service in the rank of PO. The applicant submits that as per Policy decision dated 07.06.1999 and 09.02.2001, the pension of all the retired Armed Forces Personnel has been revised on the basis of the rank/group last held by the individual and the requirement of 10

months service in the last rank held for all the officers and personnel below of the officer rank is waived off.

4. The applicant further submits that with the implementation of the recommendations of the 5th CPC, the condition for holding last rank for 10 months has been waived off and even if a person holds a rank for 01 day, he is entitled for pension of the last rank held. Furthermore, the MoD letter No.B/39013/AG/PS 4(A&C) 131/1/ (Pension/Service) dated 09.02.2001 expressly states that Rank means rank last held and not the rank for which pensioned was previously sanctioned.

5. The applicant places reliance on the order dated 17.04.2017 in OA 882/2016 in case of *EX JWO Ashok Kumar Tanwr & Ors Vs Union of India & Ors*, order dated 13.02.2015 in OA No.62/2014 in case of *JWO P Gopalakrishnana Vs Union of India & Ors*, and a catena of orders of the Armed Forces Tribunal.

6. The respondents fairly do not dispute the settled proposition of law put forth on behalf of the applicant in view of the verdicts relied upon on behalf of the applicant.

7. It cannot be overlooked that the verdict dated 29.01.2010 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (PB) in TA 339/2010(WP(Civil))

No.567/2002 of Delhi High Court) *Ex JWO Bharat Singh Khatana*

Vs *Union of India & Ors.* whereby it was observed to the effect that:

“According to the provisions of the Armed Forces Rules, the incumbent has to serve at least 10 months on the last rank for full pension of that post but he was not given the pension of the last rank held by him i.e. JWO. Hence, petitioner aggrieved by this filed the present petition before the Hon’ble Delhi High Court which has been transferred to this Tribunal after formation of Armed Forces Tribunal and prayed that his pension may be given on the basis of last rank held by him. In this connection, petitioner has referred to a Notification dated 09.02.2001 whereby the Government of India, Ministry of Defence has issued a Circular implementing the Government decision on the recommendations of Fifth Pay Commission relating to pensionary benefits in respect of commissioned officers and personnel below officers ranks and in that it has been clarified that all Armed Forces pensioners irrespective of their date of retirement shall not get less than 50% of the minimum revised scale on pay introduced w.e.f. 01.01.1996. Since this was not being given by the PCDA, Allahabad and that matter came before the Government and the Government had clarified as under:

“It is clarified that pension of all pre-96 retiree Armed forces Personnel will be revised on the basis of the rank/group last held by the individual and the revised pay scales connected thereto, even if the rank/group was held for less than 10 months before retirement. Such pension will be reduced proportionately if the qualifying service is less than 33 years. Other conditions to earn pension will continue to apply.”

In view of this clarification, we do not find any reason as to why petitioner should not be given pension of the

last rank held by him for a period of 06 months. Therefore, condition of 10 months has now been waived by the government. Consequently, we direct that petitioner's pension should be determined in the light of the aforesaid Circular and he may be given the pension @ 50% of the last post held by him as JWO. His pension should be worked out and arrears shall be paid to the petition with 12% interest. This whole exercise should be done within three months from today. Petition is according allowed. No order as to costs, ”-

has been upheld vide order dated 08.03.2016 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Union of India & Ors. vs Ex JWO Bharat Singh Khatana* Civil Appeal no. 7366-7367/2011.

8. The judgments relied on behalf of the applicant make it apparent that pension cannot be declined to an individual for the rank he last held and rendered his services as laid down in *Thiagrajan vs UoI & Ors* in OA 93/2014 by the (RB) Chennai. The said statutory right already earned by the applicant cannot be reduced even if an undertaking is executed by him for the receipt of any lower pension in a lower rank from that what he last held. Vide a catena of cases of this Tribunal it has been laid down that the Defence personnel are entitled to the benefits of the last rank held by them even if it has been of a duration of less than 10 months.

9. Thus, the respondents are required to implement the calculation of pension of the applicant in the rank of PO as he is similarly placed as the applicant in the case of *JWO P Gopalakrishnan vs UoI & Ors.* in OA 62/2014 decided on 03.02.2015, by the AFT(RB), Chennai and OA 1038/2017 in the matter of *Ex-JWO Krishna Moorthy K & Ors. Vs UoI & Ors.*

10. Inter alia, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Uttaranchal Forest Rangers' Assn. (Direct Recruit) v. State of U.P.*, (2006) 10 SCC 346 has adverted to its verdict in *State of Karnataka and Ors Vs C.Lalitha* (2006) 2 SCC 747 wherein it has been observed that service jurisprudence evolved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court postulates that all the persons similarly situated should be treated similarly.

11. The OA 3772/2025 is thus allowed and the respondents are directed as under:-

- (i) Calculate the pension of the applicant based on the last held rank by him before retirement i.e. Petty Officer(PO), Subject to verification and in consonance with the principles of calculation that have been upheld in *JWO Gopalakrishnan* in this regard; and
- (ii) The applicant will be accordingly issued a fresh Corrigendum PPO in the last rank held by him within

four months and the arrears paid accordingly, *failing which*, it shall carry interest @ 6% till actual payment.

- (iii) However, in view of the order dated 20.12.2024 of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in Writ Petition (C) 6815/2024, the grant of arrears of the last rank pension due to the applicant shall be confined to commence to run from the period of three years prior to the institution of the present OA instituted on 21.11.2025.

12. No order as to costs.

(JUSTICE NANDITA DUBEY)
MEMBER (J)

(RASIKA CHAUBE)
MEMBER (A)

/Pooja/